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#### Abstract

In this paper, an efficient error analysis of a real-time vision-based pointing system is proposed. We use two cameras to implement the pointing system according to a simplified 3D reconstruction scheme which is based on image feature extraction, homography, and 3D geometry. Similar to other $3 D$ reconstruction approaches, reconstruction errors also exist in the proposed pointing system. We investigate the relation between image noise and the ultimate reconstruction errors, and develop efficient methods to find the worst-case error range in the latter with respect to a fixed magnitude of the former due to each camera. Experimental results show that the proposed approach can find the error range satisfactorily. Thus, users of similar pointing systems can get a more robust pointing result by identifying a special pointer position, or possibly a special pair of cameras, that will result in minimal range of pointing error.
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## 1. Introduction

Nowadays, interactions between human and machines are no longer restricted by using a keyboard and a mouse since researchers have developed many ways to communicate with machines. These methods are widely used in many applications such as an interactive game, the control of household robot, a presentation in a conference and so on. In all of these applications, the pointing position specified by human is often needed, and a stable identification the pointing position is always desirable.

Some existing pointing systems have been developed by detecting the laser point on a projection plane [1] [2] [3]. The approaches are based on 2D plane projection to establish the corresponding relationships between the camera
plane, projection plane, and display plane. When a laser point appears on the projection plane, the systems will first find the location of the laser point, and then transform it into the display plane. By detecting the laser dot directly, these systems are usually working with high accuracy. However, there is a limitation in such systems: the laser dot must be brighter than the projection plane; otherwise the laser dot will not be detected easily.

In some other pointing systems, human hands are exploited to give instructions through the associated direction vectors. Users can also give instructions according to some pre-defined gestures [4]. In [5], the connected line from the finger root to the fingertip is recognized as a pointing direction. In general, for finding the pointing position, some form of 3D reconstruction has to be carried out.

Often, stereo information is helpful to the determination of a direction vector. In [6], eyes and the fingertip can accurately determine a direction vector, and in [7] a direction vector is found by the connected line from shoulders to arms.

In this paper, we propose a real-time, vision-based system for finding the pointing direction without using stereo information and 3D reconstruction. We consider the conception of the intersection of planes in the world coordinate. We first calculate two planes each formed by the two endpoints of the pointer with the center of one of the two cameras. Then the intersection of these two planes forms a direction vector. With the direction vector, we can determine the pointing dot projected on the projection plane. The whole processes can be accomplished by some homographic transformations without ordinary reconstruction process.

For real images, different forms of errors can be generated during reconstruction processing. We propose an error analysis method to simulate errors occur in the system, and to estimate the maximum error range. With the help of such analysis, more robust pointing can be achieved by selecting appropriate pointer positions, or better set of two
cameras, which correspond to smallest range of estimated error.

## 2. System Architecture

This section describes the configuration of our system and the main idea of our approach. The system uses two cameras mounted on the ceiling, four reference points on the floor, and a projection plane perpendicular to the ground (see Figure 1).


Figure 1. Configuration of the system.
In proposed approach, the left and right images are acquired simultaneously from the two cameras. For each of the stereo images, the image pixels of the pointer are obtained through a preprocessing step, and we calculate the best-fit line of these pixels by using principal components analysis (PCA). The line intersects the bounding box of the above image pixels at two points, which are then regarded as (extended) endpoints of the pointer in the image. In this paper, the two sets of pointer endpoints are denoted as $\left\{I_{L S}, I_{L E}\right\}$ and $\left\{I_{R S}, I_{R E}\right\}$ for the left and right images, respectively.

Once the positions of the above endpoints are located in the left and right images, we use homographic transformation to find their projections, $\left\{R_{L S}, R_{L E}\right\}$ and $\left\{R_{R S}, R_{R E}\right\}$ on the ground plane. The transformations, $H_{L}$ and $H_{R}$, are found in advance by using four reference points marked on the floor (not shown in Figure 1), and their positions in the stereo images. Consider $\pi_{L}$, which is a plane formed by $\left\{R_{L S}, R_{L E}\right\}$ and the center of left camera $C_{L}$, and $\pi_{R}$, which is a plane formed with $\left\{R_{R S}, R_{R E}\right\}$ and $C_{R}$. Planes $\pi_{L}, \pi_{R}$, and the projection plane $\pi_{P}$ intersect to form a point $P$, the pointing point. Finally, we transform $P$ into the 2D coordinate of the monitor display through another homographic transformation, and the reconstructed pointing position is displayed.

With the above simple reconstruction process, there is no need to find the camera parameters, as required in some 3D reconstruction approaches, and we can operate our pointing system correctly under the real-time condition. However, there are some noises in the imaging process which cause reconstruction errors, making the pointing position not stable. To that end, an efficient error analysis approach for estimating system errors is proposed, as presented next.


Figure 2. Four groups of simulated points for $I_{L S}, I_{L E}, I_{R S}$ and $I_{R E}$

## 3. Error Analysis

For the real world implementation of the pointing system described above, the reconstructed pointing position (RPP) and actual pointing position are not always the same. Such discrepancies can be categorized into (i) static and (ii) dynamic errors. The error analysis discuss in this section will be focused on (ii) since most of the static ones, which do not change with, time can be corrected by an additional transformation. There are several sources of the errors, and the major one is noises associated with the imaging process. In our system, $\pi_{P}, C_{L}$ and $C_{R}$ are fixed in the system, so RPP is decided by $\pi_{L}$ and $\pi_{R}$, and in turn, is decided by $I_{L S}, I_{L E}, I_{R S}$ and $I_{R E}$. During the extraction of these points from stereo images, the process is often influenced by noises. As a result, the obtained points are not stable, so is the calculated RPP. Thus, we are going to study the deviation of the reconstructed points due to the variations of $I_{L S}, I_{L E}, I_{R S}$ and $I_{R E}$.

After some observations, we find that the above noises can cause about $\pm 1$ pixels deviation in the images. Therefore, we examine the error in RPP by adding simulated noises to these endpoints (see Figure 2). We first generate 24 simulated points placed evenly (every $15^{\circ}$ ) a long circles with
radius of 1 pixel, and with $I_{L S}, I_{L E}, I_{R S}$ and $I_{R E}$ as centers. Thus, we have four groups of the simulated points that correspond to $I_{L S}, I_{L E}, I_{R S}$ and $I_{R E}$. After that, in each run of the simulation, four points each selected from these groups will be regarded as endpoints of the pointer in the stereo images, and used to reconstruct a RPP. In Figure 3(a), red points represent all reconstructed points (RPPs) of simulated points in Figure 2. Furthermore, we pick four of the reconstructed points with maximum (or minimum) $X$ (or $Y$ ) coordinates to show the range of reconstruction errors, as shown in Figure 3(b).

In general, it is desirable if such a range can be found more efficiently, e.g., with less simulated endpoints of the pointer. However, a direct reduction in the data size may then reduce the estimated range of reconstruction errors. For example, the blue region in Figure 4 is obtained by using only 4 points from each group in Figure 2.

(b)

Figure 3. (a) Reconstructed RPPs for simulated points shown in Figure 2. (b) Range of reconstruction errors (with error-free reconstruction show by an " $x$ ").


Figure 4. Error range shown in Figure. 3 (b) (red), similar range but obtained by using only 4 points from each group in Figure 2 (blue), error range based on internal common tangents (black, see text).

Table 1. Coordinates of the vertices shown in Figure 4.

|  | $x_{\max }$ | $x_{\min }$ | $y_{\max }$ | $y_{\min }$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (blue) | $\mathbf{5 3 5 . 9 8 5 7}$ | $\mathbf{4 5 5 . 9 6 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 9 5 . 6 4 8 3}$ | $\mathbf{3 3 0 . 5 3 9 3}$ |
| (red) | $\mathbf{5 3 9 . 2 2 5 1}$ | $\mathbf{4 5 3 . 4 0 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{3 9 7 . 8 2 4 8}$ | $\mathbf{3 2 8 . 1 9 0 7}$ |
| (black) | 539.2422 | $\mathbf{4 5 3 . 2 3 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 9 7 . 8 8 2 3}$ | $\mathbf{3 2 8 . 1 0 2 7}$ |

From some close examinations of the relationship between the above reconstruction errors and the locations of individual set of simulated endpoints of the pointer obtained from Figure 2, we found that the error range is mainly due to (two) extreme values in the slopes of $\overline{I_{L S} I_{L E}}$ (and $\overline{I_{R S} I_{R E}}$ ). Based on such an observation, we then try to use only two points from each circle shown in Figure 2, which corresponded to the internal common tangents of the two circles shown in each of the stereo images. The range of reconstruction error thus obtained is also shown in Figure 4 (in black). One can see that such a result is almost overlapped with that obtained using all (24) points from each group of simulated points shown in Figure 2. A more in depth examination of this phenomenon can be carried out by comparing the coordinates of the vertices shown in Figure 4, as listed in Table 1. Thus, by using only the contacts of the internal common tangents of the two circles in each image, the number of simulated points can be reduced greatly.


Figure 5. (a) Left image. (b) Right image. (c) Estimated error range and actual RPPs.

According to the above observations, we know that the determination of a RPP of a pointer from stereo images will get more errors if it is rotated (than if it is translated) accidentally due to noise in the images. On the other hand, we can estimate the maximal reconstructed errors by using the contacts of the above internal common tangents and improve the system accuracy based on such error analysis.

## 4. Experiments

In the experiments, we fixed the pointer in the space and estimated the errors in the reconstructed RPPs. In Figures 5(a) and (b), the orange stick is used as a pointer which is fixed in the workspace. In Figure 5(c), the pink rectangle shows the estimated range of reconstruction errors, while the red dots are the actual positions of reconstructed RPPs. One can see that the latter is well bounded by the former. Figures 6 (a) to (c) show results similar to those depicted in Figure 5, but with a different pointer location. One can see that in this case, the actual errors and estimated errors are similar. In Figure 6(c), the locations of the RPPs are now distributed in a fairly narrow region, which is predicted very well by the estimated range of errors.

Thus, with the proposed analysis approach, the distributions of RPPs due to imaging noise can be estimated reasonably for (i) different pointer locations, and (ii) different set of stereo images obtained from different pairs cameras. In other words, our approach can be used to find the way to reduce the pointing error if the pointer is allowed to use in different locations, and if there are more than one pair of cameras that can be used in the pointing system under consideration.


Figure 6. (a) Left image. (b) Right image. (c) Estimated error range and actual RPPs

## 5. Conclusions

An efficient error analysis method is achieved by estimating the worst-case error ranges, affected by image noises. When using the similar pointing systems, analysis results can indicate a suitable operation position. Moreover, in a multi-camera environment the system can automatically choose a suitable pair of cameras that can get a more accurate result.
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