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#### Abstract

We study the new construction of binary asymmetric error-correcting codes presented by Fu, Ling and Xing. The direct construction algorithm requires $\theta\left(n 2^{n}\right)$ operations in all cases. In this paper, we first develop a construction algorithm which requires only $\theta\left(2^{n}\right)$ operations in all cases. Next, we improve the algorithm by a bounding function. The final construction algorithm requires $O\left(2^{n}\right)$ operations in the worst case. In most cases, the number of operations is much lower than $2^{n}$.
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## 1. Introduction

In most binary communication systems, the error probabilities from 1 to 0 and from 0 to 1 are approximately the same. This kind of systems is well modeled by binary-symmetric channel. But in certain communication systems, the probability from 1 to 0 is much higher than the error probability from 0 to 1 . These communications are modeled by the binary asymmetric channel, which are also named Zchannel. Similar to error-correcting codes for binarysymmetric channel, error-correcting codes for Zchannel are also discussed widely [1-6]. Recently, a new construction for asymmetric error-correcting codes was developed by Fu, Ling and Xing [3]. Their construction provided new lower bounds on code size.

In this paper, we present two construction algorithms based on those developed by Fu, Ling and Xing.

This paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, we introduce some definitions about binary asymmetric code. In Section 3, we introduce the new binary asymmetric error-correcting code construction introduced by Fu et al.
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In Section 4, we first present a backtracking algorithm for constructing asymmetric codes. Then we provide a bounding function to improve this algorithm. In Section 5, we analyze all the construction algorithms discussed in this paper.

We conclude this section by introducing the following notations which will be used throughout this paper.

1. $\quad F_{q}$ : A finite field with q elements.
2. $F_{2}{ }^{n}:\left\{x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \mid x_{i} \in F_{2}\right\}$, a vector space over $F_{2}$ of dimension $n$.
3. $F_{q}[x]$ : The ring of polynomials over $F_{q}$ in variable $x$.

## 2. Binary Asymmetric Codes

A binary asymmetric error-correcting code is defined in terms of the following notations.

For binary vectors

$$
x=<x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}>\text { and } y=<y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{n}>
$$

the asymmetric distance between them is defined as

$$
d_{a}(x, y)=\max \{N(x, y), N(y, x)\},
$$

where

$$
N(x, y)=\#\left\{i \mid x_{i}=0, y_{i}=1\right\} .
$$

For $C \subseteq F_{2}{ }^{n}$, the minimum asymmetric distance of C is defined as

$$
\Delta(C)=\min \left\{d_{a}(x, y): x, y \in C, \text { and } x \neq y\right\} .
$$

A binary code of length n and minimum asymmetric distance $\Delta$ is called a ( $\mathrm{n}, \Delta$ ) asymmetric code.

It was shown in [4] that a $(\mathrm{n}, \Delta)$ asymmetric code can correct $\Delta-1$ or fewer asymmetric errors (from 1 to 0 errors).

## 3. The Fu, Ling and Xing's Construction

By Fu, Ling and Xing's construction, a ( $\mathrm{n}, \Delta \geq \mathrm{d}$ ) asymmetric error-correcting code can be constructed in the following steps:

## Step1:

Select a finite field $F_{q}$ such that $q$ is a prime power, and $\mathrm{q} \geq \mathrm{n}$.

## Step2:

Select a monic polynomial $f(x) \in F_{q}[x]$ with degree d .

## Step3:

Select n distinct elements $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}$ in $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{q}}$ such that $f\left(\alpha_{i}\right) \neq 0$, for $1 \leqq \mathrm{i} \leqq \mathrm{n}$.

## Step4:

Define a multiplicative group $(G, \otimes)$, where
$G=\left\{g(x) \in F_{q}[x]: \operatorname{deg}(g(x))<\operatorname{deg}(f(x))\right.$,
$g(x)$ is monic, and $(g(x), f(x))=1\}$.
The multiplication operation $\otimes$ over G given by
$a(x) \otimes b(x)=M(a(x) b(x) \bmod f(x))$, where
$M(h(x))=h_{m}^{-1} h(x), h_{m}$ is the coefficient of the
highest degree term in $h(x)$.
Step5:
Define $\Omega: F_{2}{ }^{n} \rightarrow G$
$\left(c_{1}, c_{2}, \ldots, c_{n}\right) \mapsto \prod_{i=1}^{n} \otimes\left(x-\alpha_{i}\right)^{c_{i}} \in G$.

## Step6:

Select a polynomial $g(x) \in G$.
Let $C_{g}=\Omega^{-1}(g(x))$, if $C_{g} \neq \phi$, then $C_{g}$ is a ( $\mathrm{n}, \Delta \geq \mathrm{d}$ ) asymmetric code.

## 4. The New Recursive Construction

With Fu, Ling and Xing's construction, we have to compute $\Omega^{-1}$ function to obtain the code. In the direct construction algorithm, it is necessary to compute $\Omega(v)$, for all $v \in Z_{2}{ }^{n}$, and then collect the set $\left\{v \mid \Omega(v)=g(x), v \in F_{2}{ }^{n}\right\}$ as a code $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{g}}$. In this section, we propose two recursive algorithms to speed up the computations in all cases.

First, we define a set

$$
C_{g, i}=\left\{v \mid v \in C_{g}, v_{j}=0 \text { for } n-i+1 \leq j \leq n\right\} .
$$

Note that $C_{g, 0}=C_{g}$. The main idea of this algorithm is to compute $C_{g, 0}$, instead of $C_{g}$.

Our first algorithm is given below:
Algorithm Construction_1 $(g(x), i)$
Input: $g(x), i$
Output: $C_{g}$
begin
Initially $T_{1}, T_{2}$ are two empty sets;
if $i=\mathrm{n}-1$ then
if $g(x)=\left(x-\alpha_{1}\right)$ then return $\{<1,0,0, \ldots, 0>\}$;
else if $g(x)=1$ then
return $\{<0,0,0, \ldots, 0>\}$;
else

## return $\} ;$

## endif

endif
$T_{1}=$ Construction_1 $(g(x), i+1)$;
$T_{2}=$ Construction_1 $\left.g(x) \otimes\left(x-\alpha_{n-i}\right)^{-1}, i+1\right)$;
for all vectors $v$ in $T_{2}$ do
$v_{\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{i}}=1 ;$
return $T_{1} \cup T_{2}$;
end

In the call tree of construction 1, we notice that several branches receive empty-set return. We introduce a bounding function $\lambda: F_{q} \rightarrow N$ to help eliminating sub-trees of the call tree.

We define a $\lambda$-function
$\lambda\left(\alpha_{i}\right)= \begin{cases}\min \left\{j:\left|\mathrm{C}_{\left(\mathrm{x}-\alpha_{i}\right), j}\right|=1\right\} & , \text { if exist some } j \ni\left|\mathrm{C}_{\left(\mathrm{x}-\alpha_{i}\right), j}\right|=1 . \\ n & , \text { otherwise. }\end{cases}$

Example1 Let $F_{q}=F_{13}, \mathrm{n}=13$,
$f(x)=x^{4}+11 x^{3}+12 x^{2}+2 x+1, \alpha_{1} \sim \alpha_{13}=0 \sim 12$,
then we have the following $\lambda$ function:

| $\alpha_{i}$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\lambda\left(\alpha_{i}\right)$ | 3 | 3 | 7 | 3 | 1 | 2 |


| $\alpha_{i}$ | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\lambda\left(\alpha_{i}\right)$ | 2 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 13 | 13 |

The second algorithm given below is improved from construction 1 by applying the $\lambda$-function.

```
Algorithm Construction_2 \((g(x), i)\)
Input: \(g(x), i\)
Output: \(C_{g}\)
begin
    Initially \(T_{1}, T_{2}\) are two empty sets;
    if \(i=\mathrm{n}-1\) then
        if \(g(x)=\left(x-\alpha_{1}\right)\) then
            return \(\{<1,0,0, \ldots, 0>\}\);
            else if \(g(x)=1\) then
                return \(\{<0,0,0, \ldots, 0>\}\);
            else
                return \(\} ;\)
            endif
    endif
    if \(g(x)=\left(x-\alpha_{j}\right)\) for some \(j\) then
            if \(i \geq \lambda\left(\alpha_{j}\right)\) and \(i \leq n-j\) then
                return \(\{v\}\) where \(v\) is the \(j_{\text {th }}\) row of
                identity matrix \(I_{n \times n}\);
            else if \(i \geq \lambda\left(\alpha_{j}\right)\) and \(i>n-j\) then
                return \(\}\);
```

endif

## endif

$$
\left.T_{1}=\text { Construction_2( } g(x), i+1\right)
$$

$$
\left.T_{2}=\text { Construction_2 } g(x) \otimes\left(x-\alpha_{n-i}\right)^{-1}, i+1\right)
$$

$$
\text { for all vectors } v \text { in } T_{2} \text { do }
$$

$$
v_{\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{i}}=1
$$

return $T_{1} \cup T_{2}$;
end

## 5. Analysis of The <br> Construction Algorithms

The most expensive operation in all construction algorithms is the multiplication operation over the group $(G, \otimes)$. We analyze all the construction algorithms on the number of $\otimes$ operations that have been discussed so far.

Theorem 1: The direct construction algorithm proposed by Fu , Ling, and Xing requires $\theta\left(n 2^{n}\right)$ multiplication operations over group G in all cases.

Proof: The direct algorithm has to calculate a $\otimes$ operation for each " 1 " occurs in each binary vector from $F_{2}{ }^{n}$. Note that we need not calculate the $\otimes$ operation for the first " 1 " in each binary vector. Thus, for $n \geq 2$, the number of $\otimes$ operation is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i=0}^{n}\binom{n}{i} \times i-\left(2^{n}-1\right) \\
& =(n-2) 2^{n-1}+1 \\
& =\theta\left(n 2^{n}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 2: The Construction 1 algorithm requires $\theta\left(2^{n}\right)$ multiplication operations over group G in all cases.

Proof: Consider a call tree of the construction 1 algorithm, it has exact $2^{n}-1$ nodes. We have to calculate a $\otimes$ operation on each internal node in the call tree. By pre-calculating

$$
\left(x-\alpha_{i}\right)^{-1} \text { over } G, \text { for } 1 \leq \mathrm{i} \leq \mathrm{n},
$$

the construction 1 algorithm has to calculate the $\otimes$ operation on $2^{n-1}-1$ nodes in the call tree. So the number of $\otimes$ operations is $2^{n-1}-1=\theta\left(2^{n}\right)$.

Theorem 3: The Construction 2 algorithm requires $O\left(2^{n}\right)$ multiplication operations over group G in the worst case.

Proof: By the bounding function $\lambda$, we eliminate at least

$$
\sum_{\substack{c: c \in C_{g}, w t(c) \geq 2 \\ i=\min \left\{i: c_{i}=1\right\}, j=\min \left\{j: j>i, c_{j}=1\right\}, n-j+1 \geq \lambda\left(\alpha_{i}\right)}}\left(2^{j-1}-2\right)
$$

nodes in the complete call tree. So, the call tree has at most

$$
2^{n}-1-\left(\sum_{\substack{c: c \in C_{g}, w t(c) \geq 2 \\ i=\min \left\{i: c_{i}=1\right\}, j=\min \left\{j: j>i, c_{j}=1\right\}, n-j+1 \geq \lambda\left(\alpha_{j}\right)}}\left(2^{j-1}-2\right)\right)
$$

nodes.
Thus we have an upper bound of internal nodes

$$
2^{n}-1-\left(\sum_{\substack{c: c \in C_{g}, w t(c) \geq 2 \\ i=\min \left\{i: c_{i}=1\right\}, j=\min \left\{j: j>i, c_{j}=1\right\} \\ n-j+1 \geq \lambda\left(\alpha_{i}\right)}}\left(2^{j-1}-1\right)\right)
$$

Since we have to calculate a $\otimes$ operation on each internal node. In worst cases, the number of $\otimes$ operations is $O\left(2^{n}\right)$.

Example2 Using parameters in example1, in order to construct $C_{(x-10)}$, the number of $\otimes$ operations required by each algorithm is:

| Algorithm | Direct | Constuct1 | Construct2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \# of operations | 45057 | 4095 | 2043 |

As shown in Figure1, several branches are eliminated by $\lambda$-function (the shadowed area).


Figure 1. Call tree of construction 2
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